

Date: 26/10/2023

Ref: UPAC/COM/2023/046

To: Bursa Kuwait

السادة/ بورصة الكويت للأوراق المالية المحترمين،

تحية طيبة وبعد،

الموضوع: إفصاح مكمل بشأن الدعاوى والأحكام

Subject: Supplementary Disclosure Regarding the Lawsuits and Court Judgments

Pursuant to the provisions of module ten - Disclosure and Transparency - of the Executive Regulations of Law No. 7 for year 2010 regarding the establishment of the Capital Markets Authority and regulating securities activities and their amendments, and further to the Company's previous disclosure dated 11th May 2021, United Projects for Aviation Services Company KSCP would like to report on issuance of the cassation judgment for the original lawsuit number 5029/2017 Administrative/5 in accordance with the attached disclosure form.

عملاً بأحكام الكتاب العاشر - الإفصاح والشفافية - من اللائحة التنفيذية للقانون رقم 7 لسنة 2010 بشأن إنشاء هيئة أسواق المال وتنظيم نشاط الأوراق المالية وتعديلاتهما، وعطفاً على إفصاح الشركة السابق بتاريخ 11 مايو 2021، تفيد شركة المشاريع المتحدة للخدمات الجوية (ش.م.ك.ع) بصدور حكم التمييز في الدعوى الأصلية رقم 2017/5029 إداري/5 وذلك وفق نموذج الإفصاح المرفق.

وتفضلوا بقبول فائق الاحترام والتقدير،



نادية عبدالله عكيل
نائب رئيس مجلس الإدارة والرئيس التنفيذي
Nadia Abdullah Akil
Vice Chairperson and CEO

Copy to CMA.

نسخة الى السادة/ هيئة أسواق المال.

Disclosure Form of Lawsuits and Court Judgments

Date	26 October 2023
Name of the Listed Company	United Projects for Aviation Services Company KSCP
Case automated no. - Lawsuit no.	172270480 5029/2017 Administrative/5
Case subject matter	The Company raised lawsuit No. 5029/2017 administrative/5 with the request to cancel the decision issued by the Head of the Directorate General of Civil Aviation -in his capacity- under the letter issued with reference No. 33/4429, which includes the suspension of the services provided at zones 1 and 4, and the call to refund all amounts received by the Company.
Judgment date	25 October 2023
The court that issued the judgment - Circuit	Court of Cassation Administrative/1 (990/2020)
Parties of the case	In the original lawsuit No. 5029/2017 administrative/5 United Projects for Aviation Services Company against Head of the Directorate General of Civil Aviation -in his capacity. In the joined-lawsuit No. 7100/2017 administrative/5 Director of the Directorate General of Civil Aviation -in his capacity- against United Projects for Aviation Services Company.
The Judgment is in favour of	United Projects for Aviation Services Company
The Concluding Judgement of the Court of First Instance	On June 10, 2019, the judgment of first instance court was passed on the acceptance of the lawsuits in form, and in the matter of canceling the contested decision, with its consequent effects, and obliging the administrative authority to pay to the Company an amount of KD 2,024,222.607 (two million twenty-four thousand two hundred twenty-two Kuwaiti Dinars and 607 Fils).

<p>The Concluding Judgement of the Court of Appeal</p>	<p>The court decided to accept the two appeals in form, and in the subject of the second appeal to reject it, and in the subject of first appeal to amend the appealed ruling by obligating the administrative body to performs the legal interest of 7% above the amount ruled to the Company from October 2015 until the date of payment and endorse ruling except that as shown in the reasons. Moreover, the administrative authority obligated the expenses and twenty Dinars the attorney fees.</p>
<p>The Concluding Judgement of the Court of Cassation</p>	<p>The Court of Cassation decided to prove that the appellant (the Director General of Civil Aviation) had abandoned his right in the case at the Court of Cassation and obligated to pay expenses and twenty dinars for attorney's fees.</p>
<p>The potential effect of the Judgement on the company</p>	<p>There is no material impact at the present time, as the amount due to the Company has been received in line with the previous judgments with an amount of KWD 2,024,222.607 (two million twenty-four thousand two hundred twenty-two Kuwaiti Dinars and 607 Fils only), in accordance with the settlement agreement concluded with the administrative authority after the issuance of the appeal judgment.</p>